

Hi Bill

Thanks and appreciate what you are looking to do, as what I am saying raises issues beyond the status quo assumptions as to the cause of and responses to climate change, it needs to be further analysed and discussed, objectively and rigorously. Ideally this would be best in an open question and answer forum or think tank so that these questions, discussions and the relevant scientific evidence, as well as any outstanding unknowns, could also be documented to extend this critical debate.

By way of background I am a retired microbial ecologist and for the past years have been involved in undertaking a detailed forensic pattern analysis of all the hard scientific and practical evidence on the processes, dynamics and disturbances that may have affected the global heat dynamics, balance and hence climate. Like in a jig saw puzzle we have been critically reviewing the published peer reviewed scientific literature in a wide range of relevant areas to answer questions and define relationships and linkages between contributing pieces of the wider climate system and dynamics.

While there is always more to know on specific details, we now believe we have over 90% of the process map complete with there being no question and ample hard substantiated science to verify the accuracy of this systems understanding of our climate.

Although not contradicting the reality of the CO₂ greenhouse effect, which may govern less than 5% of the global heat dynamics and climate, this systems understanding allows us to put it into context relative to other factors such as water based processes which have governed over 90% of the global heat dynamics and climate for the past 4 billion years.

The analysis also explains fully the history of why and how the IPCC and its models have focused substantially on the CO₂ greenhouse effect as their assumed cause of global warming and thus why the political focus is on reducing CO₂ emissions to address it. Far from ignoring these dominant water based processes in governing the global climate, the early assumptions were that, being so dominant, humans could not possibly have altered the global water and thus heat dynamics and climate. As such they assumed that the warming must be caused by the clear abnormal rise in CO₂ levels associated with our burning of fossil fuels. Besides they were able to model this CO₂ warming effect but not the highly complex water and heat dynamics which varied significantly in time and space.

Because of the large number of substantiating scientific references, the need to explain why and how our current assumptions and dogma was formed, where it is incorrect and areas where we are still exploring details, it has been difficult to condense the 'evidence and case' for the hydrological causes and control of our climate crisis into a simple scientific proof or paper. Similarly as it questions much of the current assumptions, dogma and responses to climate change, it often also raises reactions from the established status quo. However very keen for the evidence and case to be presented via TEDx, possibly based on the highlights of the suggested think tank.

Also happy for you to raise these options at the St Kitts and Nevis Cabinet. I am currently seeking to influence Australia's policies and Copenhagen responses in relation to these issues so may be able to assist in this. However the dominant perceptions and dogma are often well entrenched.

Pleased to discuss ways forward. Hope this has been of assistance to you.

Warm regards
Walter